



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_4191
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF SORGHUM BISCUIT ENRICHED WITH DEFATTED SOY FLOUR
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript must be revised for English grammar (i.e. punctuations used as well as sentence structure). See lines 30-32, 82-83, 109-113, 116-117 as examples. The entire manuscript should also be proof-read to eliminate errors. For example: Abstract: "Two way" should be "Two-way." Lines 26 and 37, "stable" should be "staple." Line 34: "B complex" should be "B-complex." Line 94: "chroma(C*)which" should be "chroma (C*) which". Lines 75-77: how were the samples prepared to measure mineral content using atomic absorption spectrophotometer and flame photometer? Details on the method should be provided. Table 2: what were the standard deviations for each energy values as well as their significant differences? Table 3: significant differences must be provided. Table 4: what were the standard deviations and significant differences for "Breaking strength" values? Table 5: what were the significant differences for a* values? The reference section must be revised. All references must be formatted in a consistent manner according to the guidelines of the journal. For example, some references show the issue numbers while others did not.	The corrections as regards the English grammar had been attended to. The correction is implemented –"Two – way" Line 31 – B- complex is effected Line 35 – staples Line 92 - chroma (C*) which Line 75 – 77 – Details of procedure is given, line 71 – 104. Table 2 – Energy values were calculated using Atwater Factor, so they do not have significant difference Table 3 –Significant difference inserted Table 4 Authors felt since there is no significant difference between the breaking strength, there wouldn't be any need to add the significant difference. Table 5 - significant differences for a* values were placed. The references had been attended to.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	"P = .05" should be changed to "P < 0.05" or "P ≤ 0.05" throughout the manuscript. Lines 48-49: please describe the type of sorghum used (i.e. was it a white or red sorghum?). Lines 71-84: please state the specific AOCS method used for each analysis. The only AOCS method given was for ash content (see lines 74-75). Line 99: please start the equation with "WI = " followed by the given equation.	Red Sorghum was used for the study AOAC, 2005 was used. Line123 $WI = 100 - \sqrt{(100 - L)^2 + a^2 + b^2}$
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Line 33: to avoid confusion to readers, please refer soybean either a legume or an oilseed and not as a "cereal legume."	"Legume" was used